SINGLE BENCH

17-10-05

03

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT

BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION No.4691 OF 2005.

PETITIONER	:	V.K. CHANDRAKAR AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, SON OF SHRI D.R. CHANDRAKAR, RESIDENT OF C/O. YUVRAJSINGH CHANDRAKAR, VILLAGE AND POST ARJUNDA, DISTT. DURG (CHHATTISGARH)]
		VERSUS
RESPONDENTS	1.	THE STATE OF CHHATTISGARH, THROUGH – THE SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF FISHERIES, DAU KALYAN SINGH BHAWAN, RAIPUR (CHHATTISGARH)
	2.	THE COLLECTOR, DISTT. DURG (CHHATTISGARH)
	3.	THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF FISHERIES, DISTT. DURG (C.G.)
	4.	C.P. SHARMA, AFISHERIES INSPECTOR, GUNDERDEHI, BLOCK, DISTRICT DURG (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4760/05
Presented by Shri V.K. Chandrakar
dated 19/7/05

RECEIVED-COPY
14.9.
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

एस.के. शुक्ला
बिलासपुर (छ.ग.)
बिलासपुर तहसील बोर्ड

2

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE. 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 4691 **सन् 2005**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	07.10.2005 W.P.No. 4691/2005 Shri Vinod Deshmukh, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Vivek Sharma, Panel Lawyer for the State. Heard. The petitioner who is presently working on the post of Fisheries Inspector at Arjunda, District-Durg has been transferred from Arjunda Block-Gunderdehi, District- Durg to Block-Saja, District-Durg vide impugned order of transfer dated 31.8.2005(Annexure P- 1). Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No.4 is working at the same place for the last 20 years, but the petitioner has been chosen for transfer, leaving respondent No.4. He also submits that there are many complaints against respondent No.4, but the respondent No.4 is retained by the authorities of the State and the petitioner has been subjected to transfer. He further submits that the aforesaid transfer is against the transfer policy of the State. The copy of transfer policy has been filed as Annexure P-3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the present, this petition may be disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation before the concerned authority and the concerned authority may be directed to dispose of the said representation within the stipulated period granted by this Court.	

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश – 2 –	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	This limited prayer, made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the State counsel. The prayer is allowed. Is so advised, the petitioner may file a detailed representation along with a certified copy of this order before the competent authority within a period of one month from today and if such a representation is filed the competent authority shall dispose of the said representation as early as possible preferably within a period of 6 weeks from the date of filing/receipt of the said representation. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands finally disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P.No 3800/2005 and I.A.No. 8409/2005 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Sunil Kumar Sinha** **Judge**	

sunita

12.1.06

3.12.05